

Management of Functional Seizures Practice Guideline

Clinician Summary

This is a summary of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) guideline, “Management of Functional Seizures Practice Guideline,” which was published in *Neurology*® online on December 10, 2025.

Please refer to the full guideline at [AAN.com/guidelines](https://www.aan.com/guidelines) for more information, including the full systematic review of the evidence as well as descriptions of the processes for classifying evidence, deriving conclusions, and making recommendations.

Diagnosis of functional seizures

Recommendation 1

Rationale

Historically, there have been significant delays in the diagnosis of functional seizures, with the diagnosis being delayed for an average of 7–8 years after symptom onset.^{1,2} Prompt and accurate diagnosis of functional seizures is essential for effective treatment and avoidance of iatrogenesis based on misdiagnoses. A detailed clinical history and careful analysis of the seizure semiology, including characteristics positively associated with functional seizures, contribute to the accurate diagnosis of functional seizures.^{3–8} Historical and semiological information obtained from both patients and witnesses can improve the accuracy of the diagnosis.⁹ When feasible, a brief physical examination during the ictal event can contribute to the accurate diagnosis of functional seizures and epileptic seizures.^{3,10–13} Serum prolactin, lactate, and creatine kinase may be more elevated after a bilateral tonic–clonic epileptic seizure than following other episodes involving transient loss of consciousness, but each of these laboratory tests has been associated with significant rates of false positive and false negative results when used to differentiate between functional seizures, epileptic seizures, and syncope.^{14–18}

In combination with clinical history and semiology, video–electroencephalogram (VEEG) assessment of all typical types of episodes is the gold standard for diagnosing definite or “documented” functional seizures and differentiating them from epileptic seizures or other physiologic events.¹⁹ VEEG can be obtained in an epilepsy monitoring unit, in 30–60 minute electroencephalograms (EEGs) in the inpatient or outpatient setting, through continuous inpatient EEG monitoring, or using home–video EEG.²⁰ When functional seizures present with a semiology resembling syncope, additional long–term electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring and tilt–table testing may help to differentiate functional seizures from syncope.²¹ VEEG is not available in all practice settings and may not be feasible for patients with infrequent seizure–like events.²² When VEEG is not feasible, a diagnosis of probable functional seizures may be based on review of history, semiology, and interictal EEG.¹⁹ Similarly, when VEEG capture of a typical event is not feasible, a diagnosis of clinically established functional seizures can be based on history, semiology, ambulatory EEG capture of a typical event (without video), and separate video recording of a typical event.¹⁹ Videos of seizure–like episodes captured on smartphones and reviewed by a neurologist can facilitate the evaluation of seizure semiology and allow accurate diagnosis in most of the cases.²³ Individuals with functional seizures frequently

experience multiple functional neurologic symptoms.²⁴
²⁵ Clinicians with greater experience in the diagnosis of seizure disorders can more accurately diagnose functional seizures.^{26–29}

Level	Recommendation
Level B	1A. Clinicians should include functional seizures in the differential diagnosis and in the initial workup of patients presenting with seizure-like or syncope-like episodes to make a prompt and accurate diagnosis.
Level B	1B. When evaluating patients with seizure-like or syncope-like episodes, clinicians should seek historical and semiological information from both patients and witnesses when available to support a prompt and accurate diagnosis.
Level B	1C. When acutely evaluating patients with prolonged seizure-like episodes in emergency settings, clinicians should perform a brief ictal physical examination to support a prompt and accurate diagnosis.
Level C	1D. When diagnostic ambiguity exists between epileptic and functional seizures after review of historical and semiological features including available video and EEG data, clinicians may obtain VEEG of typical seizure-like episodes where feasible to confirm the diagnosis with the greatest possible level of clinical certainty.
Level B	1E. When diagnostic ambiguity exists between syncope and functional seizures after review of historical and semiological features, clinicians should evaluate blood pressure and cardiac rhythm during episodes using ECG monitoring and/or tilt-table testing where available to confirm the diagnosis with the greatest possible level of clinical certainty.
Level B	1F. Where VEEG, ECG, and/or tilt-table capture of typical seizure-like or syncope-like episodes are not feasible, clinicians should use ambulatory EEG, interictal EEG, interictal ECG if available, smartphone video of typical seizure-like episodes, and historical and semiological features to make a diagnosis with the greatest possible level of clinical certainty.
Level B	1G. When diagnosing a patient with functional seizures, clinicians should screen and evaluate for other functional neurologic symptoms, to support prompt treatment.
Level B	1H. When diagnosis or management of functional or epileptic seizures is beyond the clinician's scope of practice or expertise, they should refer patients with seizures to an appropriate specialist to support a prompt and accurate diagnosis.

Assessment of psychiatric comorbidities and epilepsy

Recommendation 2

Rationale

A lifetime history of psychiatric disorders, including mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), co-occurs at a high frequency with functional seizures.^{30,31} Substance use disorders can also co-occur at elevated rates among people with functional seizures.^{32,33,34} Abuse, neglect, and other adverse life experiences are 3–5 times more common among individuals with functional neurological disorders than in the general population and twice as common as among individuals with other psychiatric disorders.^{35,36} Co-occurring psychiatric disorders can make it more difficult for some individuals to engage in psychotherapeutic treatment, with a greater number and severity of psychiatric symptoms associated with higher rates of treatment non-adherence.^{37,38} Adherence to psychotherapeutic treatment is associated with better outcomes for functional seizure frequency and quality of life.^{30,38} Accordingly, treatment of co-occurring psychiatric disorders may also facilitate psychotherapeutic treatment of functional seizures.

Lifestyle modifications such as diet, exercise, and meditation can improve quality of life in individuals with common co-occurring psychiatric disorders such as mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and PTSD.^{39–41} Appropriate psychotherapeutic treatments can improve quality of life and psychosocial functioning among individuals with co-occurring psychiatric disorders, including mood, anxiety, and personality disorders.^{42–44} Psychopharmaceuticals such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) are also effective in treating psychiatric disorders, including mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and PTSD.^{45,46} Therapeutic neuromodulation is also effective in treating common co-occurring psychiatric disorders, including major depression.^{47–50}

Level	Recommendation
Level B	2A. Clinicians should evaluate patients diagnosed with functional seizures for co-occurring psychiatric disorders (including affective, trauma-related, personality, and substance use disorders) to facilitate treatment of both co-occurring disorders and functional seizures.

Level B	2B. Clinicians should offer patients diagnosed with functional seizures and active co-occurring psychiatric disorders, who do not already receive mental health care, a referral to a mental health specialist for appropriate evidence-based treatment of their co-occurring psychiatric disorders.
----------------	--

Recommendation 3

Rationale

Functional seizures may co-occur in up to 12% of people with epilepsy, and epilepsy may co-occur in up to 20% of adults with functional seizures, 30%–40% of children with functional seizures, and up to 50% of individuals with intellectual disabilities and functional seizures.^{51,52} Antiseizure medications improve epileptic seizure control, mortality rates, and quality of life among individuals with epilepsy.^{53–55} Treating co-occurring epileptic and functional seizures requires clinicians and patients to accurately identify and differentiate the different seizure types so that appropriate treatments can be titrated accordingly. VEEG assessment of all typical types of episodes in combination with clinical history and seizure semiology is the gold standard for diagnosing functional seizures and differentiating them from epileptic seizures or other physiologic events.¹⁹

Level	Recommendation
Level B	3A. Clinicians should evaluate patients diagnosed with functional seizures for co-occurring epilepsy to deliver appropriate treatment.
Level B	3B. Clinicians should use history, semiology, and—where feasible—VEEG to help patients with co-occurring functional and epileptic seizures to accurately identify and distinguish different seizure types to deliver appropriate treatment.
Level B	3C. Clinicians should counsel patients with functional seizures and co-occurring epilepsy about the risks and benefits of antiseizure medications for the treatment of epileptic seizures, as well as their lack of efficacy in the treatment of functional seizures.
Level B	3D. Clinicians should prescribe appropriate antiseizure treatments for epileptic seizures for patients with functional seizures and co-occurring epilepsy.

General principles of management

Recommendation 4

Rationale

Functional seizures and other forms of functional neurological disorder are diagnosed based on history, physical examination, and semiology, as well as evaluation of alternative diagnoses and comorbidities as clinically indicated.^{4,19,56} Psychological interventions may be effective in improving seizure frequency and quality of life. Mental health specialists and other clinicians can be trained in providing these psychological interventions. Neurologists can also facilitate treatment of functional seizures through effective communication of the diagnosis and other approaches to promote engagement (e.g., use of motivational interviewing strategies).^{30,57} Patients with functional seizures often have comorbidities and other neurologic symptoms, some of which can develop following the diagnosis of functional seizures.⁵⁸

Some common clinical practices have been shown to harm patients with functional seizures through stigmatization, humiliation, or inflicting pain.⁵⁹ Patients with functional seizures are entitled to the same rights as other patients, including dignity, clear and respectful communication, and protection from harm. Patients with functional seizures may have different illness experiences or different understandings of the diagnosis depending on cultural context.^{60–62}

The provision of a clear explanation of the diagnosis and treatment plan is an essential platform for further treatment. Development of a seizure action plan can provide increased control and decreased vulnerability for patients and caregivers.⁶³ Many local and national driving restrictions relating to seizures and/or episodic alterations of awareness may apply to patients with functional seizures.⁶⁴ Functional seizures frequently interfere with employment, schooling, and other social functions.^{65–68} Effective patient self-management has been linked to improvements in health outcomes for a number of chronic conditions.^{69–72} Clinicians can support self-management and self-efficacy by directing patients to educational and support resources. For patients with chronic illnesses, continuity of care is associated with increased patient satisfaction and adherence with treatment plans.^{73–75}

Level	Recommendation
Level B	4A. Neurologists and mental health clinicians should collaborate in the assessment and treatment of functional seizures to facilitate evidence-based treatment.

Management of Functional Seizures Practice Guideline—continued

Level B	4B. Clinicians should adhere to universal standards of care for patients, including speaking respectfully, refraining from unnecessary harm, and avoiding stigmatizing behavior to prevent harm to patients.
Level B	4C. Clinicians should provide a specific diagnostic label and the rationale for the diagnosis to patients and caregivers in a manner that is clear, empathetic, supportive, and takes into account the patient’s cultural context to facilitate patient understanding of the diagnosis and treatment.
Level B	4D. Clinicians should engage in shared decision-making regarding the treatment plan, taking into account the patient’s understanding of the diagnosis, to facilitate patient engagement with treatment.
Level B	4E. Clinicians should counsel patients and caregivers on how to manage an acute functional seizure episode to enhance patients’ control and decrease vulnerability.
Level B	4F. Clinicians should ask patients of driving age about driving and provide advice appropriate to the regulations of the region of practice to facilitate adherence to relevant regulations.
Level B	4G. Clinicians should ask about the impact of functional seizures on occupational and social functioning to assess patients’ psychosocial needs.
Level B	4H. Clinicians should provide or direct the patient and caregivers to resources for learning and support, such as patient and professional advocacy organizations, to promote self-management and self-efficacy.
Level B	4I. Clinicians should provide continuity of care to individuals diagnosed with functional seizures to facilitate treatment and increase patient satisfaction.

Psychological interventions

Recommendation 5

Rationale

Psychological interventions in general can be helpful in possibly achieving seizure freedom, reducing functional seizure frequency, reducing anxiety, and improving health-related quality of life and psychosocial functioning in individuals with functional seizures. Neuro-behavioral therapy (NBT), paradoxical therapy, behavioral therapy, psychoeducation, Retraining and Control Therapy (ReACT), and motivational interviewing in combination with psychotherapy have been studied in the treatment of functional seizures, and several studies have examined functional seizure-

specific cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). Studies of NBT, paradoxical therapy, CBT, and motivational interviewing in combination with psychotherapy suggest that these psychological interventions possibly increase the probability of achieving freedom from functional seizures. Studies of ReACT and CBT suggest that these psychological interventions possibly decrease functional seizure frequency. Studies of ReACT, CBT, and motivational interviewing in combination with psychotherapy suggest that these psychological interventions possibly decrease anxiety and increase health-related quality of life. Studies of group psychoeducation and CBT suggest that these psychological interventions possibly improve psychosocial functioning. Studies of CBT suggest that it possibly increases the probability of achieving freedom from functional seizures and possibly improves psychosocial functioning.

Psychological interventions are generally safe and well tolerated.^{76,77} Offering available effective and safe treatments is critical to the process of shared decision-making between clinicians and patients. The success of psychological interventions depends, in part, on the support and participation of family, particularly in the treatment of children.^{78,79} The involvement of caregivers or other members of the social support system may also contribute to psychological treatment success for adults.^{80,81} Therefore, psychological interventions may differently involve family, caregivers, or others in the patient’s social support network, depending on the patient’s circumstances.

Level	Recommendation
Level B	5A. When psychological interventions for the treatment of functional seizures are indicated and accessible, clinicians should counsel patients regarding the potential benefits and risks of such interventions to facilitate shared decision-making.
Level B	5B. When caring for patients with functional seizures, clinicians whose scope of practice does not include counseling patients regarding the possible benefits and demands of psychological interventions should refer patients to a clinician knowledgeable about modalities of psychological treatment to facilitate evidence-based treatment.
Level B	5C. Clinicians should refer interested and appropriate patients diagnosed with functional seizures to psychological interventions for the treatment of functional seizures to improve frequency of functional seizures, health-related quality of life, and psychosocial functioning.

Level B	5D. Clinicians should, with patient permission, involve family, caregivers, or others in the social support network in the psychological treatment of adults with functional seizures to improve treatment outcomes.
Level B	5E. Clinicians should involve family in the psychological treatment of children with functional seizures to improve treatment outcomes.

Pharmacologic interventions

Recommendation 6

Rationale

Many patients with functional seizures are initially misdiagnosed with epilepsy and treated with antiseizure medications before the correct diagnosis is made.¹ Even after the diagnosis of functional seizures, many patients are prescribed antiseizure medications and/or psychopharmacologic interventions, including benzodiazepines,⁸² despite insufficient evidence of benefit. Although antiseizure medications can be effective in treating co-occurring epilepsy and psychopharmacologic medications can be effective in treating co-occurring psychiatric disorders, there is a lack of evidence of efficacy of either type of medication in the direct treatment of functional seizures.

While benzodiazepines can provide anxiolysis⁸³ and can sometimes abort prolonged functional seizures in the short term, they are habit forming,⁸⁴ can cause cognitive impairment,⁸⁵ and increase risk of motor vehicle accidents in the long term.⁸⁶ In the acute setting, administration of benzodiazepines for treatment of prolonged functional seizures can result in intubation and iatrogenic harm.^{87,88} While antiseizure medications may provide reassurance to clinicians, patients, or caregivers who are uncertain of the diagnosis of functional seizures, these medications cause adverse effects ranging from more common but mild effects like fatigue and dizziness, to severe and even life-threatening effects such as Stevens-Johnson syndrome, aplastic anemia, and hepatic failure.⁸⁹ In patients with functional seizures without co-occurring epilepsy who were previously treated with antiseizure medications, immediate tapering of antiseizure medications leads to improved outcomes compared with delayed withdrawal.⁹⁰ Besides epilepsy, other indications for antiseizure medications, including potentially benzodiazepines, may include but are not limited to mood disorders, anxiety disorders, migraine, or neuropathic pain.

Level	Recommendation
Level B	6A. Clinicians should counsel patients with functional seizures without co-occurring epilepsy or another indication for benzodiazepines regarding the potential risks and lack of evidence of benefit for functional seizures associated with benzodiazepines.
Level B	6B. Clinicians should not prescribe benzodiazepines for acute abortive treatment in patients with functional seizures without co-occurring epilepsy, anxiety disorders, or another indication, to reduce the risk of adverse effects.
Level B	6C. Clinicians should counsel patients with functional seizures and without co-occurring epilepsy or another indication for an antiseizure medication about the lack of benefit and the potential risks of taking antiseizure medications for the treatment of functional seizures.
Level B	6D. Clinicians should not prescribe antiseizure medications to patients with functional seizures without co-occurring epilepsy or another indication for antiseizure medications, to reduce the risk of adverse effects.
Level B	6E. Clinicians should taper off antiseizure medications for patients with functional seizures and without another indication for antiseizure medications to reduce the risk of adverse effects.

References

1. Reuber M, Fernandez G, Bauer J, Helmstaedter C, Elger CE. Diagnostic delay in psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Neurology* 2002;58:493–495.
2. Kerr WT, Zhang X, Hill CE, et al. Factors associated with delay to video-EEG in dissociative seizures. *Seizure* 2021;86:155–160.
3. Avbersek A, Sisodiya S. Does the primary literature provide support for clinical signs used to distinguish psychogenic nonepileptic seizures from epileptic seizures? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2010;81:719–725.
4. Gilmour GS, Maclsaac R, Subotic A, Wiebe S, Josephson CB. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical signs and symptoms for psychogenic nonepileptic attacks versus epileptic seizures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epilepsy Behav* 2021;121:108030.
5. Kerr WT, Janio EA, Chau AM, et al. Objective score from initial interview identifies patients with probable dissociative seizures. *Epilepsy Behav* 2020;113:107525.
6. Reuber M, Chen M, Jamnadas-Khoda J, et al. Value of patient-reported symptoms in the diagnosis of transient loss of consciousness. *Neurology* 2016;87:625–633.
7. Reuber M, Monzoni C, Sharrack B, Plug L. Using interactional and linguistic analysis to distinguish between epileptic and psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: a prospective, blinded multirater study. *Epilepsy Behav* 2009;16:139–144.
8. Jenkins L, Cosgrove J, Chappell P, Kheder A, Sokhi D, Reuber M. Neurologists can identify diagnostic linguistic features during routine seizure clinic interactions: results of a one-day teaching intervention. *Epilepsy Behav* 2016;64:257–261.
9. Chen M, Jamnadas-Khoda J, Broadhurst M, et al. Value of witness observations in the differential diagnosis of transient loss of consciousness. *Neurology* 2019;92:e895–e904.
10. Bell WL, Park YD, Thompson EA, Radtke RA. Ictal cognitive assessment of partial seizures and pseudoseizures. *Arch Neurol* 1998;55:1456–1459.
11. Muthusamy S, Seneviratne U, Ding C, Phan TG. Using Semiology to Classify Epileptic Seizures vs Psychogenic Nonepileptic Seizures: A Meta-analysis. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2022;12:234–247.
12. Seneviratne U, Rajendran D, Brusco M, Phan TG. How good are we at diagnosing seizures based on semiology? *Epilepsia* 2012;53:e63–66.
13. Sen A, Scott C, Sisodiya SM. Stertorous breathing is a reliably identified sign that helps in the differentiation of epileptic from psychogenic non-epileptic convulsions: an audit. *Epilepsy Res* 2007;77:62–64.
14. Willert C, Spitzer C, Kusserow S, Runge U. Serum neuron-specific enolase, prolactin, and creatine kinase after epileptic and psychogenic non-epileptic seizures. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2004;109:318–323.
15. Laxer KD, Mulooley JP, Howell B. Prolactin changes after seizures classified by EEG monitoring. *Neurology* 1985;35:31–35.
16. Patel J, Tran QK, Martinez S, Wright H, Pourmand A. Utility of serum lactate on differential diagnosis of seizure-like activity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Seizure* 2022;102:134–142.
17. Abubakr A, Wambacq I. Diagnostic value of serum prolactin levels in PNES in the epilepsy monitoring unit. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2016;6:116–119.
18. Abdelnaby R, Elgenidy A, Heckelmann J, et al. The role of creatine kinase in distinguishing generalized tonic-clonic seizures from psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES) and syncope: a retrospective study and meta-analysis of 1300 patients. *Neurol Res Pract* 2023;5:56.
19. LaFrance WC, Jr., Baker GA, Duncan R, Goldstein LH, Reuber M. Minimum requirements for the diagnosis of psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: a staged approach: a report from the International League Against Epilepsy Nonepileptic Seizures Task Force. *Epilepsia* 2013;54:2005–2018.
20. Nurse ES, Perera T, Hannon T, Wong V, Fernandes KM, Cook MJ. Rates of event capture of home video EEG. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2023;149:12–17.
21. Blad H, Lamberts RJ, van Dijk GJ, Thijs RD. Tilt-induced vasovagal syncope and psychogenic pseudosyncope: Overlapping clinical entities. *Neurology* 2015;85:2006–2010.
22. Hingray C, El-Hage W, Duncan R, et al. Access to diagnostic and therapeutic facilities for psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: An international survey by the ILAE PNES Task Force. *Epilepsia* 2018;59:203–214.
23. Tatum WO, Hirsch LJ, Gelfand MA, et al. Assessment of the Predictive Value of Outpatient Smartphone Videos for Diagnosis of Epileptic Seizures. *JAMA Neurol* 2020;77:593–600.
24. Butler M, Shipston-Sharman O, Seynaeve M, et al. International online survey of 1048 individuals with functional neurological disorder. *Eur J Neurol* 2021;28:3591–3602.

Management of Functional Seizures Practice Guideline—continued

25. Ducroizet A, Zimiant I, Golder D, et al. Functional neurological disorder: Clinical manifestations and comorbidities; an online survey. *J Clin Neurosci* 2023;110:116–125.
26. Wasserman D, Herskovitz M. Epileptic vs psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: a video-based survey. *Epilepsy Behav* 2017;73:42–45.
27. Birca V KM, Chamelian L, Lortie A, Nguyen DK. Recognition of Psychogenic Versus Epileptic Seizures Based on Videos. *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences / Journal Canadien des Sciences Neurologiques* 2022;49:352–360.
28. Seneviratne U, Ding C, Bower S, Craig S, Leech M, Phan TG. Video-based training improves the accuracy of seizure diagnosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2014;85:466–470.
29. Syed TU, LaFrance WC, Jr., Kahrman ES, et al. Can semiology predict psychogenic nonepileptic seizures? A prospective study. *Ann Neurol* 2011;69:997–1004.
30. Tolchin B, Dworetzky BA, Martino S, Blumenfeld H, Hirsch LJ, Baslet G. Adherence with psychotherapy and treatment outcomes for psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Neurology* 2019;92:e675–e679.
31. Rady A, Elfatraty A, Molokhia T, Radwan A. Psychiatric comorbidities in patients with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav* 2021;118:107918.
32. Merikangas KR, McClair VL. Epidemiology of substance use disorders. *Hum Genet* 2012;131:779–789.
33. Gorenflo R, Ho R, Carrazana E, et al. Identification of risk factors and distinguishing psychogenic nonepileptic seizures from epilepsy: A retrospective case-control study. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2022;217:107221.
34. Salinsky M, Evrard C, Storzbach D, Pugh MJ. Psychiatric comorbidity in veterans with psychogenic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav* 2012;25:345–349.
35. Ludwig L, Paskan JA, Nicholson T, et al. Stressful life events and maltreatment in conversion (functional neurological) disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2018;5:307–320.
36. Morsy SK, Aybek S, Carson A, et al. The relationship between types of life events and the onset of functional neurological (conversion) disorder in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2022;52:401–418.
37. Ogrodniczuk JS, Joyce AS, Piper WE. Strategies for reducing patient-initiated premature termination of psychotherapy. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2005;13:57–70.
38. van Servellen G, Heise BA, Ellis R. Factors associated with antidepressant medication adherence and adherence-enhancement programmes: a systematic literature review. *Ment Health Fam Med* 2011;8:255–271.
39. Sarris J, O’Neil A, Coulson CE, Schweitzer I, Berk M. Lifestyle medicine for depression. *BMC Psychiatry* 2014;14:107.
40. Sarris J, Moylan S, Camfield DA, et al. Complementary medicine, exercise, meditation, diet, and lifestyle modification for anxiety disorders: a review of current evidence. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2012;2012:809653.
41. Wong VW, Ho FY, Shi NK, Sarris J, Ng CH, Tam OK. Lifestyle medicine for anxiety symptoms: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Affect Disord* 2022;310:354–368.
42. Nieuwsma JA, Trivedi RB, McDuffie J, Kronish I, Benjamin D, Williams JW. Brief psychotherapy for depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Psychiatry Med* 2012;43:129–151.
43. Reynolds S, Wilson C, Austin J, Hooper L. Effects of psychotherapy for anxiety in children and adolescents: a meta-analytic review. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2012;32:251–262.
44. Perry JC, Banon E, Ianni F. Effectiveness of psychotherapy for personality disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999;156:1312–1321.
45. Cipriani A, Furukawa TA, Salanti G, et al. Comparative Efficacy and Acceptability of 21 Antidepressant Drugs for the Acute Treatment of Adults With Major Depressive Disorder: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. *Focus (Am Psychiatr Publ)* 2018;16:420–429.
46. Locher C, Koechlin H, Zion SR, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors, Serotonin-Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors, and Placebo for Common Psychiatric Disorders Among Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2017;74:1011–1020.
47. McGirr A, Berlim MT. Clinical Usefulness of Therapeutic Neuromodulation for Major Depression: A Systematic Meta-Review of Recent Meta-Analyses. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2018;41:485–503.
48. Cheng YC, Kuo PH, Su MI, Huang WL. The efficacy of non-invasive, non-convulsive electrical neuromodulation on depression, anxiety and sleep disturbance: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2022;52:801–812.
49. Vicario CM, Salehinejad MA, Felmingham K, Martino G, Nitsche MA. A systematic review on the therapeutic effectiveness of non-invasive brain stimulation for the treatment of anxiety disorders. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2019;96:219–231.

Management of Functional Seizures Practice Guideline—continued

50. Gouveia FV, Davidson B, Meng Y, et al. Treating Post-traumatic Stress Disorder with Neuromodulation Therapies: Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation, Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation, and Deep Brain Stimulation. *Neurotherapeutics* 2020;17:1747–1756.
51. Kutlubaev MA, Xu Y, Hackett ML, Stone J. Dual diagnosis of epilepsy and psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: Systematic review and meta-analysis of frequency, correlates, and outcomes. *Epilepsy Behav* 2018;89:70–78.
52. Asadi-Pooya AA. Incidence and prevalence of psychogenic nonepileptic seizures (functional seizures): a systematic review and an analytical study. *Int J Neurosci* 2023;133:598–603.
53. Ridsdale L, Charlton J, Ashworth M, Richardson MP, Gulliford MC. Epilepsy mortality and risk factors for death in epilepsy: a population-based study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61:e271–278.
54. Faught E, Duh MS, Weiner JR, Guerin A, Cunnington MC. Nonadherence to antiepileptic drugs and increased mortality: findings from the RANSOM Study. *Neurology* 2008;71:1572–1578.
55. Dwivedi R, Tiwari P, Pahuja M, Dada R, Tripathi M. Anti-seizure medications and quality of life in person with epilepsy. *Heliyon* 2022;8:e11073.
56. Ricci L, Boscarino M, Assenza G, et al. Clinical utility of home videos for diagnosing epileptic seizures: a systematic review and practical recommendations for optimal and safe recording. *Neurol Sci* 2021;42:1301–1309.
57. Hall-Patch L, Brown R, House A, et al. Acceptability and effectiveness of a strategy for the communication of the diagnosis of psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Epilepsia* 2010;51:70–78.
58. McKenzie PS, Oto M, Graham CD, Duncan R. Do patients whose psychogenic non-epileptic seizures resolve, 'replace' them with other medically unexplained symptoms? Medically unexplained symptoms arising after a diagnosis of psychogenic non-epileptic seizures. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2011;82:967–969.
59. McLoughlin C, Lee WH, Carson A, Stone J. Iatrogenic harm in functional neurological disorder. *Brain* 2024.
60. Hinton DE, Lewis-Fernandez R, Pollack MH. A model of the generation of ataque de nervios: the role of fear of negative affect and fear of arousal symptoms. *CNS Neurosci Ther* 2009;15:264–275.
61. Ho R, Ocol J, Lu C, et al. Presentation of psychogenic nonepileptic seizures in Hawaii's ethnoracially diverse population. *Epilepsy Behav* 2019;96:150–154.
62. Lerario MP, Rosendale N, Waugh JL, Turban J, Maschi T. Functional Neurological Disorder Among Sexual and Gender Minority People. *Neurol Clin* 2023;41:759–781.
63. Penovich P, Glauser T, Becker D, et al. Recommendations for development of acute seizure action plans (ASAPs) from an expert panel. *Epilepsy Behav* 2021;123:108264.
64. Asadi-Pooya AA, Nicholson TR, Pick S, et al. Driving a motor vehicle and psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: ILAE Report by the Task Force on Psychogenic Nonepileptic Seizures. *Epilepsia Open* 2020;5:371–385.
65. Goldstein LH, Robinson EJ, Chalder T, et al. Six-month outcomes of the CODES randomised controlled trial of cognitive behavioural therapy for dissociative seizures: A secondary analysis. *Seizure* 2022;96:128–136.
66. Woodward J, Asadi-Pooya AA, Mildon B, Tolchin B. Work difficulties, work restrictions, and disability benefits in people with functional seizures: A survey study. *Epilepsy Behav Rep* 2023;23:100610.
67. Asadi-Pooya AA, Bazrafshan M. Employment and disability status in patients with functional (psychogenic nonepileptic) seizures. *Brain Behav* 2021;11:e02016.
68. Robson C, Myers L, Pretorius C, Lian OS, Reuber M. Health related quality of life of people with non-epileptic seizures: The role of socio-demographic characteristics and stigma. *Seizure* 2018;55:93–99.
69. Ory MG, Ahn S, Jiang L, et al. National study of chronic disease self-management: six-month outcome findings. *J Aging Health* 2013;25:1258–1274.
70. Lorig KR, Sobel DS, Stewart AL, et al. Evidence suggesting that a chronic disease self-management program can improve health status while reducing hospitalization: a randomized trial. *Med Care* 1999;37:5–14.
71. Lorig KR, Sobel DS, Ritter PL, Laurent D, Hobbs M. Effect of a self-management program on patients with chronic disease. *Eff Clin Pract* 2001;4:256–262.
72. Holman H, Lorig K. Patient self-management: a key to effectiveness and efficiency in care of chronic disease. *Public Health Rep* 2004;119:239–243.
73. Pereira Gray DJ, Sidaway-Lee K, White E, Thorne A, Evans PH. Continuity of care with doctors—a matter of life and death? A systematic review of continuity of care and mortality. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e021161.
74. Baker R, Streatfield J. What type of general practice do patients prefer? Exploration of practice characteristics influencing patient satisfaction. *Br J Gen Pract* 1995;45:654–659.

Management of Functional Seizures Practice Guideline—continued

75. Chen CC, Tseng CH, Cheng SH. Continuity of care, medication adherence, and health care outcomes among patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: a longitudinal analysis. *Med Care* 2013;51:231–237.
76. Linden M, Schermuly–Haupt ML. Definition, assessment and rate of psychotherapy side effects. *World Psychiatry* 2014;13:306–309.
77. Westen D, Morrison K. A multidimensional meta-analysis of treatments for depression, panic, and generalized anxiety disorder: an empirical examination of the status of empirically supported therapies. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2001;69:875–899.
78. Dowell KA, Ogles BM. The effects of parent participation on child psychotherapy outcome: a meta-analytic review. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol* 2010;39:151–162.
79. Karver MS, Handelsman JB, Fields S, Bickman L. Meta-analysis of therapeutic relationship variables in youth and family therapy: the evidence for different relationship variables in the child and adolescent treatment outcome literature. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2006;26:50–65.
80. Pilling S, Bebbington P, Kuipers E, et al. Psychological treatments in schizophrenia: I. Meta-analysis of family intervention and cognitive behaviour therapy. *Psychol Med* 2002;32:763–782.
81. Shimazu K, Shimodera S, Mino Y, et al. Family psychoeducation for major depression: randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry* 2011;198:385–390.
82. Ladino LD, Benjumea–Cuartas V, Calle–Lopez Y, et al. Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures in Latin America: A survey describing current practices. *Epilepsy Behav* 2021;114:107150.
83. Little HJ. The benzodiazepines: anxiolytic and withdrawal effects. *Neuropeptides* 1991;19 Suppl:11–14.
84. Ashton H. The diagnosis and management of benzodiazepine dependence. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2005;18:249–255.
85. Stewart SA. The effects of benzodiazepines on cognition. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005;66 Suppl 2:9–13.
86. Movig KL, Mathijssen MP, Nagel PH, et al. Psychoactive substance use and the risk of motor vehicle accidents. *Accid Anal Prev* 2004;36:631–636.
87. Kapur J, Elm J, Chamberlain JM, et al. Randomized Trial of Three Anticonvulsant Medications for Status Epilepticus. *N Engl J Med* 2019;381:2103–2113.
88. Reuber M, Baker GA, Gill R, Smith DF, Chadwick DW. Failure to recognize psychogenic nonepileptic seizures may cause death. *Neurology* 2004;62:834–835.
89. Cramer JA, Mintzer S, Wheless J, Mattson RH. Adverse effects of antiepileptic drugs: a brief overview of important issues. *Expert Rev Neurother* 2010;10:885–891.
90. Oto M, Espie CA, Duncan R. An exploratory randomized controlled trial of immediate versus delayed withdrawal of antiepileptic drugs in patients with psychogenic nonepileptic attacks (PNEAs). *Epilepsia* 2010;51:1994–1999.



Management of Functional Seizures Practice Guideline

This practice guideline was endorsed by the American Epilepsy Society and the Functional Neurological Disorder Society.

Clinical practice guidelines, practice advisories, systematic reviews, evidence in focus articles, and other guidance published by the American Academy of Neurology and its affiliates are assessments of current scientific and clinical information provided as an educational service. The information (1) should not be considered inclusive of all proper treatments or methods of care, or as a statement of the standard of care; (2) is not continually updated and may not reflect the most recent evidence (new evidence may emerge between the time information is developed and when it is published or read); (3) addresses only the question(s) specifically identified; (4) does not mandate any particular course of medical care; (5) represents the medical/scientific opinion of the author panel based on an analysis of then-current clinical data, literature, and public comment (where applicable); and (6) is not intended to substitute for the independent professional judgment of the treating provider, as the information does not account for individual variation among patients. In all cases, the selected course of action should be considered by the treating provider in the context of treating the individual patient. Use of the information is voluntary. The AAN provides this information on an "as is" basis, and makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding the information. The AAN specifically disclaims any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. The AAN assumes no responsibility for any injury or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to any use of this information or for any errors or omissions. Physicians are encouraged to read the full AAN systematic review so they understand all evidence and context associated with the conclusions presented in this summary. The AAN develops these summaries as educational tools for neurologists, patients, family members, caregivers, and the public. You may download and retain a single copy for your personal use. Please contact guidelines@aan.com to learn about options for sharing this content beyond your personal use.

American Academy of Neurology, 201 Chicago Avenue, Minneapolis, MN 55415

Copies of this summary and additional companion tools are available at [AAN.com/guidelines](https://aan.com/guidelines) or through AAN Member Services at (800) 879-1960.

© 2025 American Academy of Neurology

Brain
health
for all™ 